UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,834	11/14/2003	Junichi Ogikubo	450100-04810	2578
7590 03/03/2010 FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP 745 FIFTH AVENUE NEW YORK NY 10151			EXAMINER	
			TEKLE, DANIEL T	
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/03/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/713,834	OGIKUBO, JUNICHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DANIEL TEKLE	2621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 E This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowatelessed in accordance with the practice under the second seco	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,5,9,11 and 26-29 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,5,9,11 and 26-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and accomposition accomposition and accomposition accompositi	cepted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Argument

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1, 5, 9, 11 and 26-29 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1, 5, 9, 11 and 26-29 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi (US 7,149,408) and further in view of Chen et al. (US 6,912,726).

Regarding Claim 1: Kobayashi and Chen et al. discloses an apparatus for controlling image display comprising: a determining unit configured to determine whether or not material data is combined with frame rate information as associated information (column 2 lines 4-16 of Kobayashi); and a controller configured to control image display to display (a) a frame image representation region displaying said material data along a time axis in a reproduction order, a width of the time axis of the frame image representation region representing reproduction time of the material data (column 10 lines 20-48 of Chen et al.), and (b) reproduction speed indicating region displaying a speed range available for reproduction and a currently displayed reproduction speed within the speed range (column 8 lines 26-43 of Kobayashi), wherein when material

Page 3

information is combined with the frame rate information, the width of the time axis of the frame image representation region is altered according to a reproduction time calculated based on a reproduction speed (column 8 lines 26-43 of Kobayashi) and wherein when the speed range is altered in the reproduction speed indicating region, the width of the time axis of the frame image representation region is altered to correspond thereto (column 10 lines 20-48 of Chen et al.).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine Chen et al. invention into Kobayashi invention in order to have an easy control to edit audio/video data.

Regarding Claim 5: Kobayashi disclose an apparatus according to claim 1, wherein controller sets a speed range available for reproduction on said material data based on said associated information, and controller controls the image display to display set speed range (column 4 lines 17-26).

Regarding Claim 9: Kobayashi disclose an apparatus according to claim 5, wherein controller controls image display to display an indication for indicating the reproduction speed (column 8 lines 26-43).

Regarding Claim 11: Kobayashi disclose an apparatus according to claim 5, wherein controller controls the image display to display set speed range with an indication for indicating the reproduction speed (column 8 lines 26-43); wherein indication varies in Synchronization with alteration according to an operation for altering indicated width of material data in frame image representation region (column 8 lines 43-47); and wherein indicated width of frame image representation region varies in synchronization

with alteration according to an operation for adjusting the indication(column 8 lines 43-52).

Regarding Claim 26: Kobayashi disclose an apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said indicated width of the frame image representation region becomes longer when the reproduction speed of said data materials is altered to be slower, while said indicated width of the frame image representation region becomes shorter when the reproduction speed of said data materials is altered to be faster (column 8 lines 26-42).

Regarding Claim 27: Kobayashi disclose an apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the reproduction speed of data materials becomes slower when indicated width of the frame image representation region is altered to be enlarged, while the reproduction speed of data materials becomes faster when indicated width of the frame image representation region is altered to be shortened (column 8 lines 26-42).

Regarding Claims 28-29: Claims 28-29 are rejected for the same subject matter as claim 1.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL TEKLE whose telephone number is (571)270-1117. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am to 5:00pm M-R and 7:30-4:00 Every other Friday..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on 571-272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,834 Page 5

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621

/Daniel Tekle/ Examiner, Art Unit 2621